CONTRACTOR-PROVIDED LETTER REQUESTING PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION HSCESS-09-Q-00001

DATE:
Company Name/Address:
FAX:
PHONE:
SUBJECT: Past Performance of
Contract No.
Mr./Ms.
recently completed the above contract, which we provided for your agency. The requirements of this contract were similar to the requirements of a solicitation for which my company has submitted a quote to the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).
As part of the quote evaluation, I ask that you evaluate our performance under the subject contract by completing the attached questionnaire form and emailing to timothy.g.smith@dhs.gov or faxing directly to DHS, Attn: Tim Smith, Contract Specialist at (202) 732-7201 by 3:00pm local time on May 14, 2009. The completed form will be marked and treated as "Source Selection Information" in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation 3.104, Procurement Integrity, and it will not be released except to Government personnel needing the information for source selection purposes and to <u>your agency</u> for comments during the source selection process.
Your cooperation and expeditious attention in this matter is greatly appreciated.
Sincerely
Name: Title: Company:

Name of Quoted Contractor:	
Contract No.:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Name of Rater:	NATIONAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
Phone No.:	
Contract Type:	
Place of Performance:	
Performance Period:	
Contract Dollar Amount: _	

1. Performance Grades:

<u>Exceptional</u> - Contractor's performance significantly exceeded most or all contract requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor were highly effective.

<u>Very Good</u> – Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor were effective.

<u>Satisfactory</u> – Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor appear or were satisfactory.

<u>Marginal</u> – Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the Contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The Contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.

<u>Unsatisfactory</u> – Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problems(s) for which the Contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.

Please circle each of the areas below of the contractor's past performance based on the comments provided by the customer.

2. Customer Satisfaction Commitment: To what degree was the Contractor considered responsive to and cooperative with customer personnel?

TT		N /T *	Carte Car	1 7 0 1	
Unsatisfa	CTOTA I	viarginai i	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional
Clibacible	ccory 1	viai Siliai	Dutiblactory	i very dood	Lincoptional

- 3. Quality of Service: This area of the questionnaire deals with compliance of contract requirements, to include appropriateness of personnel and technical excellence.
- 3.a Overall compliance with contract terms and conditions:

	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
3.b	Effectiveness and	l reliability of (Contractor's Key	Personnel.		
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
3.c	3.c Adequacy of maintaining and controlling Government equipment.					
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
mile effo	Timeliness of Perfestones and deliverts on time include	ery schedule, to ling wrap-up a	o include respon and contract adm	siveness to tec inistration.		
4. a	Overall performa					
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
4.b	Completion of m					
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
4.c	Responsiveness					.
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
4.d	Responsiveness					
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
4.e	Timely completion	on of efforts wi	thin period of pe	erformance.		
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
4.f	Responsiveness/	capability to re	espond to urgent	/short term re	quirements.	
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
4.g	Efficiency in med	eting cost targe	ets without exper	iencing overru	ins.	
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
	Ability to control hnology, and such				l Commercial Off	-The-Shelf
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
4.i	Effectively assess	s impacts of ch	anges on other a	ssociated proje	ects, task and effo	orts.
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional]
	Appropriately chationships.	oose effective s	subcontractors a	nd teaming pa	rtners and maint	ain good business
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional]
			HSCESS-0	9-Q-00001		Attachment :

4.K	Ability to solve co	ontract perforn	nance problems	without extens	sive guidance fro	m Government
pers	onnel.					•
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
4.1 I	Effectiveness in ir	nterfacing with	Government pe	rsonnel.		
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Exceptional	
•	Has the Contract nents, or other le	tters directing		f a performanc	• •	sion of progress
If ye	es, please explain:					
mos		ernment-issued	d change orders			attributable, for th ntractor () other
Plea	se explain:					
Gov	Based on this Cor ernment contract o, please explain:	t? Yes		•	ard this Contrac	tor another